City University eJournal of Academic Research (CUeJAR)
e-ISSN : 2682-910X
CUeJAR Homepage: https://www.city.edu.my/CUJAR

OPEN ACCESS

A Study on the Effects of Simulated Match Training on the Competitive Performance of College Basketball Athletes

Zhao Yang[1] and Dr Munira Mohsin[2]

Faculty of Sports Science and Physical Education, City University Malaysia

Abstract

Introduction: *College basketball players often show a gap between performance in routine training and performance in formal competition. This paper examines whether simulated match training can provide a practical way to strengthen competitive performance by making practice more representative of actual game demands.* ***Method:*** *This manuscript is a format-checked and academically reorganized stage-based paper derived from a broader doctoral study on college basketball athletes. It presents the theoretical rationale, research questions, intervention logic, measurement framework, and analysis plan for a simulated match training programme. The proposed design combines conventional basketball training with structured score pressure, time-limited possessions, tactical reproduction, critical-possession tasks, and full-court confrontation.* ***Results and discussion:*** *The discussion suggests that simulated match training is useful because it links technical execution, tactical decision making, and psychological adaptation within realistic practice conditions. It may be especially suitable for university teams that have limited access to specialist psychological support but need low-cost methods to improve game readiness.* ***Conclusion and/or recommendations:*** *Simulated match training should be understood as a representative and transfer-oriented training approach. Future empirical work should verify its effectiveness through controlled comparison, multidimensional performance indicators, and longer follow-up across different groups of college basketball athletes.*

Keywords: simulated game training, college basketball, competitive performance, sport anxiety, training transfer, psychological adaptation

1. Introduction

College basketball is an important part of university sport development. In many institutions, basketball teams represent the university in campus leagues, intercollegiate competitions, and regional events while also contributing to student culture and institutional visibility. As competition becomes more organized, coaches are increasingly concerned not only with the amount of training completed by athletes but also with the consistency with which training gains can be expressed during formal matches.

Copyright © City University Press.

CUeJAR
Received: 6th July 2026
Revised: 18th August 2026
Accepted: 7th September 2026

A common problem in college basketball is the gap between practice performance and game performance. Players may complete technical drills effectively in routine practice but become less accurate, less decisive, and less composed when the same skills are required under time pressure, physical contact, score pressure, and tactical uncertainty. This problem cannot be explained by technical ability alone. Basketball performance is produced through the interaction of physical ability, tactical understanding, decision making, communication, and emotional regulation.

Simulated match training provides one possible response to this problem. Instead of treating competition pressure as something that appears only in official games, it incorporates game-like demands directly into routine training. Score deficits, countdown situations, restricted possessions, defensive pressure, tactical reproduction under fatigue, and repeated late-game scenarios can all be used to make practice closer to the conditions in which performance is later required. In this sense, simulation is not simply a more intense form of practice; it is a more representative form of practice.

The present paper focuses on the effects of simulated match training on the competitive performance of college basketball athletes. It also considers sport anxiety as a relevant psychological factor because athletes who experience worry, bodily tension, or concentration disruption may struggle to transfer training performance into real competition. The purpose of the paper is to reorganize the research logic, methodological design, intervention content, and expected analytical framework of a broader doctoral study in a form that meets journal submission requirements.

The study is relevant because ordinary university teams usually face different conditions from elite professional systems. They may have committed players and coaches, but training time is limited by academic schedules, competitive exposure is uneven, and access to specialized sport psychology support is often restricted. Under such conditions, training methods must be not only theoretically sound but also operationally simple, affordable, and compatible with the daily work of college coaches.

This manuscript does not present fabricated final data or unsupported statistical claims. Instead, it clarifies how simulated match training can be examined through a controlled and multidimensional research design. By doing so, it provides a transparent basis for later empirical testing and offers practical reference for university basketball coaches who wish to improve the connection between daily practice and competitive performance.

2. Literature review

Research on sport training has long emphasized that performance improvement depends not only on repetition but also on the quality of the practice environment. Traditional technical drills remain necessary because athletes need stable movement patterns, physical conditioning, and tactical knowledge. However, basketball is a dynamic sport in which execution is rarely performed under ideal conditions. Players must perceive information, make decisions, coordinate

with teammates, respond to opponents, and regulate pressure within a short time window. Training that is too predictable may therefore improve isolated skill without fully preparing athletes for official games.

Representative learning design provides an important theoretical foundation for simulated match training. This perspective emphasizes that practice should preserve the functional demands of the target performance environment. In basketball, this means that athletes should not only rehearse shooting, passing, defensive movement, and tactical positioning in controlled drills. They should also practice these actions under conditions that include opposition, limited time, score pressure, fatigue, and tactical uncertainty. When the learning environment resembles the competition environment, the possibility of transfer from training to competition may be strengthened.

Transfer of training theory also supports the use of simulation-based practice. A training programme should not be judged only by whether athletes perform well during training sessions. It should also be judged by whether the acquired skills, decisions, and behavioural patterns can be applied in the target setting. For college basketball players, the target setting is the formal game, where psychological pressure and tactical instability are unavoidable. A technically sound training session may still have limited transfer if it does not reproduce the decision demands and emotional intensity of competition.

Sport anxiety is another key issue. Anxiety in sport may include cognitive worry, somatic tension, and concentration disruption. In basketball, these responses may affect shot selection, passing accuracy, defensive judgment, communication, and recovery after mistakes. Some athletes who perform well in routine drills may show unstable performance in official games because their attention narrows or their decision timing changes under pressure. Therefore, the study of simulated match training should not be separated from psychological adaptation.

Previous research on basketball training has examined technical performance, deliberate practice, psychological readiness, and decision training. However, many studies either focus on elite athletes or discuss training methods in general terms. College basketball athletes are a distinctive group because they combine academic responsibilities with training and usually have fewer professional support resources than elite systems. Their competitive exposure, psychological resilience, and training continuity may vary considerably. This makes the university basketball context a meaningful field for examining low-cost and practical training methods.

The literature also suggests that a single performance indicator is insufficient for evaluating basketball improvement. Points scored or shooting percentage may provide useful information, but they cannot fully capture tactical discipline, decision quality, defensive response, communication, emotional recovery, and stability under pressure. A multidimensional evaluation system is therefore needed. Such a system should combine technical indicators, coach evaluation, self-report data, psychological measurement, and implementation notes.

In addition, simulation-based training should be distinguished from simple scrimmage. A scrimmage may reproduce competition in a general way, but simulated match training requires

planned constraints, clear performance objectives, and purposeful feedback. For example, a coach may design a task in which the offensive team is behind by three points with thirty seconds remaining, or require a team to complete a defensive rotation after a turnover. These conditions are not random. They are designed to expose athletes to the exact pressure situations that often determine game outcomes.

From a psychological perspective, repeated exposure to structured pressure may support adaptation. Athletes do not necessarily become free from anxiety; rather, they may learn how to act effectively while anxiety is present. This distinction is important. The aim of simulated match training is not to remove all stress from competition, but to help athletes maintain perception, judgment, technical form, and tactical responsibility when stress increases. Therefore, psychological adaptation should be embedded in training design rather than treated as a separate pre-game instruction.

Based on the above review, simulated match training can be understood as a training approach that integrates technical execution, tactical decision making, and psychological adaptation. Its value lies in narrowing the distance between practice and competition. For college basketball teams, this approach may be particularly feasible because it can be implemented through ordinary court space, coach-designed scenarios, and team-based practice without the need for expensive technology or separate specialist departments.

3. Method

This paper is designed as a stage-based academic manuscript derived from a broader doctoral study. Its method section therefore presents the planned research design rather than claiming completed final results. The broader study examines how simulated match training may affect the competitive performance of college basketball athletes and how sport anxiety may be related to training outcomes.

The target participants are university basketball athletes who have regular team training experience and participate in campus or intercollegiate competitions. The intended sample will include athletes with comparable basketball training backgrounds so that the intervention can be evaluated in a relatively stable team context. Where conditions permit, participants will be divided into an experimental group and a control group. The experimental group will receive simulated match training in addition to regular basketball training, while the control group will continue with conventional training arrangements.

The intervention is planned as a structured simulated match training programme. It does not replace conventional skill and tactical training; rather, it restructures part of normal training so that game-like demands are represented more deliberately. The main components include score-pressure tasks, time-limited possessions, tactical reproduction under active defence, repeated critical-possession situations, transition play under fatigue, and full-court confrontation. Each component is intended to require athletes to maintain technical execution and tactical discipline while managing pressure.

To ensure that the intervention is operational, each training unit should have a clear scenario, objective, time requirement, and feedback focus. A score-pressure task may require players to protect a narrow lead or recover from a deficit. A time-limited possession task may require decision making within a shortened shot clock. A tactical reproduction task may require athletes to execute a set play after fatigue or against aggressive defence. These tasks should be recorded so that implementation fidelity can be checked during analysis.

Performance measurement will follow a multidimensional logic. Technical and game-related indicators may include shooting percentage, assists, turnovers, rebounds, steals, defensive response, and tactical execution. These indicators can be collected through game video analysis, coach statistics, and training observation records. Psychological measurement will focus on sport anxiety, especially cognitive worry, somatic tension, and concentration disruption. Questionnaires and selected interviews may also be used to understand athletes' experience of the training process.

Coach evaluation is included because competitive performance in basketball cannot always be reduced to box-score statistics. A player may improve spacing, defensive communication, help-side awareness, emotional recovery, or decision discipline without immediately showing a large change in points scored. Experienced coaches can provide structured ratings of tactical implementation, concentration, communication, and pressure response. These ratings should be supported by observation criteria to reduce subjectivity.

Data analysis should combine quantitative and qualitative methods. Descriptive statistics can be used to summarize the basic characteristics of performance and psychological indicators. Paired-sample comparisons may be used to examine within-group changes before and after training, while independent-sample comparisons or analysis of variance may be used to compare changes between groups when the research design allows. Correlation and regression analysis may further explore the relationship between sport anxiety and competitive performance. Qualitative materials from interviews and implementation notes can help explain changes that are not fully captured by numerical indicators.

Ethical and procedural control is also important. Participants should be informed of the purpose and procedures of the study, and data should be used only for academic analysis. Coaches should implement training according to a clear programme so that differences between conventional training and simulated match training can be identified. Training load should be monitored to avoid excessive fatigue and to ensure that the intervention remains suitable for university athletes.

4. Results and discussion

Because the empirical stage of the broader study is not fully completed in this manuscript, this section does not report unsupported statistical significance or final causal conclusions. Instead, it discusses the expected academic and practical value of the framework. This treatment is necessary because a manuscript submitted for publication should not include placeholders such

as an unspecified number of intervention weeks or claims of significant improvement without complete data.

The first expected contribution of simulated match training is the strengthening of training transfer. College players often perform better in stable practice than in formal games because practice may not contain the same temporal, tactical, and emotional pressures. When score pressure, time limits, and confrontation are introduced into training, athletes must learn to apply technical skills in conditions closer to competition. This may help reduce the gap between what players can do in drills and what they can do in matches.

The second contribution concerns tactical execution. Basketball tactics are not simply patterns drawn on a board. They require players to recognize cues, adjust spacing, communicate, and make decisions while opponents interfere. Simulated match training can reproduce these demands by requiring athletes to execute tactical tasks against active defence, under restricted time, and in changing game situations. This may improve decision discipline and reduce delayed responses in competition.

The third contribution concerns psychological adaptation. Sport anxiety may influence performance when players become overly concerned with outcomes, experience physical tension, or lose concentration during key moments. Simulated match training exposes athletes to pressure in a controlled and repeated manner. This does not eliminate anxiety, but it may help athletes become more familiar with competitive stress and more capable of maintaining attention and execution under pressure.

The framework is also useful for ordinary university teams. Many college programmes cannot rely on advanced technology, full-time sport psychologists, or dense competition schedules. A simulated match training model based on coach-designed scenarios is therefore realistic. It can be adjusted according to the level of the team: beginners may start with half-court time-limited tasks, while more advanced athletes may complete score-deficit challenges, late-game scenarios, or full-court confrontational sequences.

A further value of the framework is that it may improve coaching diagnosis. After a game, coaches often identify errors such as missed shots, poor passes, weak defence, or turnovers. However, without a training-transfer perspective, these errors may be treated as purely technical problems. Simulated match training encourages coaches to ask whether an error resulted from technical deficiency, tactical misunderstanding, attentional narrowing, fatigue, or pressure. This more precise diagnosis can lead to more targeted training tasks and more useful feedback.

For athletes, simulated match training may also change the meaning of practice. When training includes realistic score and time constraints, players learn that execution is not separated from decision making and emotional control. They must communicate, adjust, recover from mistakes, and continue to perform while the situation changes. Such experiences may increase confidence because athletes become familiar with the kinds of pressure they will face in competition rather than encountering them only during formal games.

At the same time, several limitations must be recognized. First, if the sample is drawn from a single university team, the generalizability of the findings will be limited. Athletes from different regions, competition levels, and training systems may respond differently. Second, intervention duration may affect outcomes. A short programme may improve immediate readiness but may not show stable long-term effects. Third, basketball performance is multidimensional, so relying on only one or two technical statistics would produce an incomplete evaluation. Fourth, sport anxiety is only one psychological variable. Self-efficacy, coping style, motivation, and team cohesion may also influence training outcomes.

These limitations point to the need for careful empirical design. Future analysis should report the actual intervention duration, sample characteristics, measurement tools, statistical procedures, and complete results. Subgroup analysis may also be useful because athletes with high anxiety and athletes with low anxiety may not respond to the same training conditions in the same way. Long-term follow-up would help determine whether any observed improvements remain stable beyond the immediate training period.

Overall, the discussion supports the view that simulated match training is not merely a collection of competitive drills. It is a systematic attempt to make training more representative of the actual game environment. Its theoretical value lies in linking representative learning, training transfer, and psychological adaptation. Its practical value lies in offering university coaches a feasible method for improving game readiness without requiring major changes to existing training resources.

5. Conclusion and/or recommendations

This paper has reorganized and format-checked a manuscript on simulated match training and competitive performance among college basketball athletes. The central argument is that college basketball training should pay closer attention to the transfer from practice to competition. Technical repetition remains necessary, but players also need repeated experience of pressure, uncertainty, time limitation, tactical urgency, and confrontation if they are expected to perform consistently in official games.

Simulated match training offers a practical way to address this issue. By introducing realistic game conditions into ordinary practice, it may support technical stability, tactical decision making, psychological adaptation, and competitive readiness. For university teams with limited resources, this approach is particularly meaningful because it can be implemented through structured coaching design rather than expensive equipment.

For future empirical research, three recommendations are proposed. First, researchers should avoid unsupported claims and report only data that have been fully collected and analyzed. Second, the evaluation of competitive performance should combine technical statistics, coach assessment, psychological scales, and qualitative feedback. Third, future studies should include longer follow-up and broader samples so that the stability and generalizability of training effects

can be examined. Through these steps, simulated match training can be studied more rigorously and applied more effectively in college basketball practice.

For coaching practice, simulated match training should be introduced gradually. Coaches may begin with simple score-pressure and time-pressure tasks, then move toward more complex tactical and full-court situations as athletes adapt. Feedback should focus not only on whether the task was completed, but also on how players perceived information, controlled emotions, communicated, and made decisions. In this way, the method can serve both research and practical training needs.

The proposed model can also guide the sequencing of training content. In the early stage, the coach may focus on relatively simple constraints, such as completing an offensive possession within a fixed time or maintaining defensive communication for several continuous possessions. In the middle stage, tactical complexity can be increased by adding score deficits, active defensive pressure, and transition situations. In the later stage, athletes can be placed in repeated late-game scenarios that require quick tactical recognition, emotional control, and collective decision making. This progression allows pressure to be introduced gradually rather than suddenly.

Another important issue is feedback. In conventional practice, feedback may focus mainly on whether the shot was made, whether the pass was accurate, or whether the tactical route was completed. In simulated match training, feedback should also address why a decision was made, whether the athlete recognized the correct cue, whether communication occurred in time, and how the athlete responded after a mistake. This type of feedback connects technical correction with psychological and tactical learning, making the training process more aligned with real competition.

The design also requires attention to training load. Simulated match tasks are often more demanding than isolated drills because they combine physical movement, confrontation, decision making, and emotional pressure. If the intensity is excessive, athletes may become fatigued and the quality of learning may decline. Therefore, coaches should balance high-pressure scenarios with recovery, technical refinement, and reflection. A scientific intervention should not simply increase difficulty; it should control difficulty so that athletes are challenged but still able to learn.

For research implementation, the study should maintain a clear distinction between observed data and expected outcomes. When the intervention has not yet produced complete statistical evidence, the manuscript should present its rationale and design transparently rather than claiming definitive effects. This approach strengthens academic integrity and allows later empirical results to be reported with greater precision. It also helps readers understand the current stage of the study and the conditions under which future findings should be interpreted.

Finally, the study should maintain consistency between the research questions, intervention content, measurement indicators, and data analysis strategy. A clear methodological chain helps

ensure that each part of the design serves the central research purpose. If simulated match training is expected to influence competitive performance, then the training tasks, observation indicators, psychological scales, and statistical comparisons should all correspond to that purpose. This internal consistency will make the later empirical stage easier to implement and interpret.

A coherent research structure also supports practical application. The introduction identifies the gap between training and competition, the literature review explains why representative training may improve transfer, the method section describes how the intervention can be implemented, and the discussion clarifies possible contributions and limitations. This sequence enables coaches and researchers to see how theoretical assumptions are translated into training tasks, measurement procedures, and future empirical testing.

This careful alignment protects the credibility of the research and provides a stronger basis for formal academic review and future field implementation. It also helps future researchers replicate the intervention with clearer standards.

References

Baldwin, T. T., & Ford, J. K. (1988). Transfer of training: A review and directions for future research. Personnel Psychology, 41(1), 63-105. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1988.tb00632.x

Ericsson, K. A., Krampe, R. T., & Tesch-Romer, C. (1993). The role of deliberate practice in the acquisition of expert performance. Psychological Review, 100(3), 363-406. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.100.3.363

Ma, Y., Ren, M., & Liu, X. (2023). Application of virtual simulation technology in sports decision training: A systematic review. Frontiers in Psychology, 14, 1164117. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1164117

Pinder, R. A., Davids, K., Renshaw, I., & Araujo, D. (2011). Representative learning design and functionality of research and practice in sport. Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 33(1), 146-155. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.33.1.146

Smith, R. E., Smoll, F. L., Cumming, S. P., & Grossbard, J. R. (2006). Measurement of multidimensional sport performance anxiety in children and adults: The Sport Anxiety Scale-2. Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 28(4), 479-501. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.28.4.479

Wang, Y., Lei, S., & Wu, C. (2023). The effect of mindfulness intervention on the psychological skills and shooting performances in male collegiate basketball athletes in Macau: A quasi-experimental study. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20(3), 2339. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20032339

Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Peng, S., Veloo, A., Bailey, R. P., & Tan, W. H. (2023). Psychometric properties of the Chinese version of Sport Anxiety Scale-2. Frontiers in Psychology, 14, 1260253. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1260253